

ARIZONA HISTORICAL ADVISORY COMMISSION

MINUTES

August 28, 2001 MEETING

Arizona Hall of Fame Museum
1101 W. Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

The Arizona Historical Advisory Commission (AHAC) met at 1 p.m. on August 28, 2001 at the Arizona Hall of Fame Museum, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

ATTENDANCE

Members

James E. Ayres, Historic Preservation Consultant, Tucson
Brad Cole, Curator of Manuscripts, Cline Library/
Historic Preservation Consultant, Northern Arizona
University, Flagstaff, Coconino County
Jim Garrison, State Historic Preservation Officer, **Statutory member**
State Historic Preservation Office, Arizona State Parks
George Gumerman, Director, **Statutory Member**
Arizona State Museum
Ken Rozen, Legislative Liaison
Arizona State Land Department
Don Ryden
Ryden Architects
Jannelle Warren-Findley
Public History Program, Arizona State University
GladysAnn Wells, Director, **Ex-Officio Secretary**
Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records
Anne I. Woosley, Executive Director
Arizona Historical Society

Also Attending

Michael Carman, Division Director, Museum Division
Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records
Carol Griffith, Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer
Arizona State Parks
David Hooper, Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Record
Tim Norton, Chair
Arizona State Board on Geographic and Historic Names

ABSENT

Members

LeRoy Brady, Manager
Roadside Development, Department of Transportation
Shelley Cohn, Director
Arizona Commission on the Arts
Edgar Perry, Cultural Specialist
White Mountain Apache Tribe
Kenneth Travous, Director, **Statutory Member**
Arizona State Park

Guests in

Attendance Lynn Neal, Arizona Archaeological Council
 Hartman H. Lomawaima, Arizona State Museum
 David R. Wilcox, Arizona Archaeological Advisory Commission
 Bill Hallett, Arizona Archeological and Historical Services
 Greg Fahey, University of Arizona Government Relations
 Bill Ponder, Arizona Historical Society
 Alida Montiel, Inter Tribal Council of Arizona, Inc
 Lynn Teague, Arizona State Museum

Introductions and announcements

Resolution of thanks to the Legislative Council for its report on the Antiquities Act, the Historical Preservation Statutes and the Historical Advisory Commission Act:

The Arizona Historical Advisory Commission expresses its gratitude to the Legislative Council for its report on the Antiquities Act, Historic Preservation Statutes and the Historical Advisory Commission Act. The report identified areas of potential conflict or inconsistency within the historical preservation agencies and their respective statutes. In so doing, it advanced the Commission's discussion of these issues and pointed to further investigation of them.

Be it therefore resolved that this expression of appreciation be conveyed to Michael Braun, Director of Legislative Council, Ken Behringer, General Counsel, and Sharon Flack, Legal Intern, and be included in the minutes of this meeting of the Arizona Historical Advisory Commission.

Historic Preservation and Antiquities Laws, Arizona State Museum Recommendations for Revisions

Dr. Gumerman said that the Arizona State Museum had pledged to work with the Arizona State Land Department and State Historic Preservation Office. ASM has made changes, but they haven't been satisfactory to the ASLD. ASM is not engaged in a turf war; its goal has been protection of history and prehistory resources. He hoped for a positive exchange of information, and ASM's report was a good starting point. He opposed tearing everything down and starting from a clean slate. Is it possible to draft a compromise document among this group?

Ken Rozen said he thought this was possible, if, rather than draw conclusions, as the ASM report does, AHAC aired the issues raised by the Legislative Council report. There is conflict between the historic preservation act and the Antiquities Act. The Land Department should not decide this. AHAC should use Legislative Council's document to take on the job.

Jim Garrison said that he had reviewed the document, and agreed with its statement of principles. He wants to move forward to reach consensus on something they can present to the legislature.

GladysAnn Wells reported that the Library Board, at its meeting earlier in the day, had discussed the final Sunset Review question. It decided that if the Legislature wants a place where "equals

among equals” can discuss historic preservation, prehistory, or history, AHAC is an appropriate body and ASLAPR is an appropriate home for it. Until AHAC can reconcile the “equals among equals” conflict, ASLAPR wouldn’t be able to make further recommendations to the Library Board.

After a year of discussion, Wells said, it was time to move forward. There has been progress. We have developed substantive papers, albeit on opposite positions. She suggested the body address: the Legislative Council list, outreach to the Native American community, and issues surrounding how AHAC does its business, e.g., no bylaws, no ways to build consensus, and satisfaction with status as an advisory group satisfactory.

Jann Warren-Findley asked if a summary of the report, in terms of agreement and disagreement, could be made. Several members suggested ways of evaluating issues. Gumerman said this was becoming very complex.

Lynn Teague said she would prepare a report on curation issues, where there was substantial agreement. Alida Montiel said these were issues of importance to tribes. Representatives from the Navajo Nation and ICA could participate in work groups.

Garrison moved acceptance of the report of the Arizona State Museum and asked ASM to focus on legislation relating to the curation aspect of its report; develop a comparative study of positions on curation measures that would involve key curators in the state; and report back to the Commission on its findings on the functional issues of curation. (seconded)

A work group to address the report in the legislative council was appointed: Jim Garrison (chair), Ken Rozen, Alida Montiel, David Wilcox and John Madsen.

AHAC Annual Report, FY 1999

The group reviewed difficulties in gathering information for the report and discussed restructuring the document.

Wells proposed that a work group be created to address legislation as it stands now and what SHPO would need from a revised report. The group should make recommendations on how the report could be a tool for improvement and education. Members are: Jim Garrison, (or his designee, chair), John Madsen, Jim Ayres, Don Ryden and David Hooper

Future Meeting Date

November 20, 10:00 a.m.-noon. Lunch to follow at conclusion of the meeting.

Adjournment

The group adjourned at 3:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
David Hooper